Climate change and Real Estate Markets

The case of the Swiss Alps

Floris Jan Blok PhD Candidate Department of Land Economy Gonville & Caius College University of Cambridge fjb49@cam.ac.uk +44 7 868 353 147



Why study the Alps?

- Climate change occurs faster in Alpine regions
 → Albedo feedbacks
- 2. Economy highly dependent on the right climate
 - \rightarrow Winter tourism
- 3. Limited market size with high variance in climate

Research Questions

Q1: What is the relationship between climate (snow cover) and the values of residential real estate in the Swiss Alps?

Q2: What is the impact of climate <u>change</u> on the market for residential real estate in Swiss ski resorts?

Previous attempts

| Authors | Study Region | Findings |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| Butsic, Hanak, and Valletta (2011) | Rocky Mountains (US) | 1% increase in snowfall intensity → 2.16% increase in house prices |
| Galinato and Tantihkarnchana (2018) | US (multiple regions) | Not snowfall, but temperature is the best predictor of house prices. Relationship non-linear. |

- No research for the European Alps so far
- Snow cover duration is the most relevant metric, not snowfall or temperature
- Cross-sectional studies only \rightarrow not climate change!

Approach

- 1. Is proximity to a ski resort a valuable amenity?
 - Does the value of this amenity differ by use?
- 2. Are agents willing to pay a premium for increased snow cover?
- 3. Does it matter whether snow is real or artificial?
 - Snowmaking will be possible for a very long time
- 4. Changes in Snow Cover Duration over time
 - Still in progress

Data

14,128 Transactions (Source: SRED)

- 99 Municipalities
- 2000-2020 (T=21)

Dependent Variable:

- Real Transaction Price
 - \circ Condominiums only: residences in a building with >1 dwellings
 - Primary (37.1%) and Secondary residences (62.9%)

Independent variables

- 1. Ski Resort, Major, Minor (<50km), or None
- 2. Snow cover duration as share of season length (November-April) • Real and Artificial

Transactions by Year



Mean Transaction Price (CHF) by Year



Is winter sport a valuable amenity?

 $\ln P_{i,m,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Ski Resort_m + \beta_2 \mathbf{Z}_{S;i,m,t} + \beta_3 \mathbf{Z}_{Local;m,t} + \beta_4 \mathbf{Z}_{Climate;m,t} + FE_t + FE_{Canton} + \varepsilon_{i,t}$

 $P_{i,m,t}$ is the price of house *i* in municipality *m* at time *t* $Ski Resort_m$ is a dummy indicating whether municipality *m* is part of a ski resort $Z_{s;i,m,t}$ is a vector of structural (house) characteristics $Z_{Local;m,t}$ is a vector of municipality characteristics $Z_{climate;m,t}$ is a vector of climatic conditions <u>at the municipal level</u>

Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | Ν | Mean | Std. Dev. | Min | Max |
|----------------------------|-------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Transaction Price | 14128 | 645831 | 507160 | 100000 | 4640000 |
| Resort (Major) | 14128 | 0,850 | 0,357 | 0 | 1 |
| Grand Hotel | 14128 | 0,342 | 0,474 | 0 | 1 |
| Age of building | 14128 | 20,850 | 22,132 | 0 | 171 |
| Square footage | 14128 | 83,845 | 34,503 | 30 | 250 |
| # Rooms | 14128 | 3,159 | 1,107 | 1 | 10 |
| # Baths | 14128 | 1,653 | 0,594 | 1 | 4 |
| Garage | 14128 | 0,681 | 0,466 | 0 | 1 |
| Micro-location | 14128 | - | - | 1 | 4 |
| Quality | 14128 | - | - | 1 | 4 |
| Structural state | 14128 | - | - | 1 | 4 |
| Avg. Summer Temperature | 14128 | 14,393 | 1,921 | 9,893 | 20,787 |
| Avg. Winter Temperature | 14128 | -2,276 | 2,262 | -8,360 | 3,020 |
| Sunshine Hours/month | 14128 | 154,218 | 8,173 | 133,577 | 168,344 |
| Distance Airport (minutes) | 14128 | 129,681 | 27,713 | 71 | 211 |
| Railway Station | 14128 | 0,754 | 0,431 | 0 | 1 |
| Peri-Urban | 14128 | 0,047 | 0,213 | 0 | 1 |

| Model | Major | Major FE | Minor | Minor FE |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Dependent Variable | Ln(Real Price) | Ln(Real Price) | Ln(Real Price) | Ln(Real Price) |
| Resort (Major) | 0.253*** | 0.243*** | | |
| | (-0.056) | (0.045) | | |
| Resort (Minor) | | | 0.162 | 0.179** |
| | | | (0.087) | (0,061) |
| Grand Hotel | 0.150** | 0.108 | 0.176** | 0.128* |
| | (0.053) | (0.056) | (0.056) | (0.06) |
| Ln(Altitude) | 0.113 | -0.349 | 0.2 | -0.183 |
| | (0.171) | (0.208) | (0.172) | (0.231) |
| Ln(Dist. Airport) | 0.374** | 0.247 | 0.285* | 0.155 |
| | (0.127) | (0.207) | (0.138) | (0.223) |
| Railway Station | 0.018 | -0.004 | 0.034 | 0.037 |
| | (0.056) | (0.046) | (0.062) | (0.052) |
| Ln(Summer Temp.) | -0.208 | -0.84 | -0.101 | -0.661 |
| | (0.427) | (0.633) | (0.439) | (0.67) |
| Ln(Winter Temp.) | 0.013 | -0.003 | 0.013 | 0.001 |
| | (0.031) | (0.032) | (0.034) | (0.036) |
| Ln(Sunshine) | -0.112 | 2.232** | -0.066 | 2.301** |
| | (0.477) | (0.689) | (0.524) | (0.794) |
| Constant | 6.567** | 0.359 | 5.910* | -1.125 |
| Ν | 14128 | 14128 | 14128 | 14128 |
| FE | no | Year & Canton | no | Year & Canton |
| R ² | 0.693 | 0.819 | 0.685 | 0.809 |

* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001

SE clustered by Municipality

Primary vs. Secondary Residences

| Model | Interaction | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Dependent Variable | Ln(Real Price) | | |
| Major*Primary | 0.240*** | | |
| | (0.042) | | |
| Major*Secondary | 0.359*** | | |
| | (0.04) | | |
| None*Secondary | 0.137*** | | |
| | (0.028) | | |
| Constant | 0.066 | | |
| N | 14128 | | |
| FE | Year & Canton | | |
| R ² | 0.826 | | |
| * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001 | SE clustered by Municipality | | |

Is winter sport a valuable amenity?

A house located in a municipality that is located within a major ski resort is worth 24.3% (15.5-33.2%) more than comparable houses elsewhere in the Swiss Alps.

The premium associated with being by a minor **or** major resort is estimated to be 17.9% (5.8-30.1%).

Estimated premium is bigger for secondary residences but this difference is not significant.

Snow Cover Duration

- Share of days in Winter with >30cm of snow.
 - $\circ~$ Minimum needed for alpine skiing
- Data from Matiu et al. (2021)
 - Gapfilled data from MeteoSwiss
- Environmental lapse rate of 5cm/100m (Bühler et al., 2018)
- Only places with complete observations included 2000-2020
- 5-Year moving averages
- Measured at base altitude
 - $\circ~$ Means that it is possible to ski everywhere

Snow cover trend (1985-2020)



Ski resort Altitudes and SCD



Descriptive Statistics

| Variable | Ν | Mean | Std. Dev. | Min | Max |
|---------------------|------|---------|-----------|--------|--------|
| Ln(Price) | 9658 | 12,906 | 0,662 | 11,108 | 15,007 |
| Grand Hotel | 9658 | 0,433 | 0,496 | 0 | 1 |
| Ln(Altitude) | 9658 | 7,182 | 0,237 | 6,240 | 7,565 |
| Ln(Dist. Airport) | 9658 | 4,858 | 0,211 | 4,331 | 5,193 |
| Railway Station | 9658 | 0,754 | 0,431 | 0 | 1 |
| Ln(Winter Temp.) | 9658 | 2,413 | 0,104 | 2,159 | 2,705 |
| Ln(Summer Temp.) | 9658 | -1,155 | 0,687 | -2,029 | 1,397 |
| Ln(Sunshine) | 9658 | 5,040 | 0,054 | 4,900 | 5,126 |
| Good Position | 9658 | 0,870 | 0,336 | 0 | 1 |
| Ln(Age) | 9658 | 2,337 | 1,489 | 0 | 5,147 |
| Ln(Square footage) | 9658 | 4,370 | 0,410 | 3,401 | 5,517 |
| Ln(# Rooms) | 9658 | 1,394 | 0,274 | 0,693 | 2,398 |
| Ln(# Baths) | 9658 | 0,960 | 0,226 | 0,693 | 1,609 |
| Garage | 9658 | 0,705 | 0,456 | 0 | 1 |
| Micro-location | 9658 | - | - | 1 | 4 |
| Quality | 9658 | - | - | 1 | 4 |
| Structural state | 9658 | - | - | 1 | 4 |
| NSCD (Base level) | 9658 | 0,341 | 0,153 | 0,008 | 0,696 |
| NSCD (Median level) | 6300 | 0,684 | 0,092 | 0,207 | 0,818 |
| ASCD (Base level) | 9658 | 0,260 | 0,108 | 0,041 | 0,497 |
| Ln(Min. Alt.) | 9658 | 7,164 | 0,226 | 6,215 | 7,467 |
| Ln(Median Alt.) | 9658 | 7,669 | 0,114 | 7,226 | 7,919 |
| Ln(Max Alt.) | 9658 | 7,997 | 0,103 | 7,696 | 8,269 |
| Ln(Vert. Drop) | 9658 | 7,394 | 0,219 | 6,802 | 7,741 |
| Ln(Slope Length) | 9658 | 5,254 | 0,489 | 3,689 | 5,858 |
| Crowdedness | 9658 | 247,398 | 54,369 | 134 | 400 |

Is there a Snow Cover-Premium?

 $\ln P_{i,m,j,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 SCD_{j,t} + \beta_2 \mathbf{Z}_{S;i,m,t} + \beta_3 \mathbf{Z}_{Ski;j,t} + \beta_4 \mathbf{Z}_{Local;m,t} + \beta_5 \mathbf{Z}_{Climate;m,t} + FE_t + FE_{Canton} + \varepsilon_{i,t}$

 $P_{i,m,j,t}$ is the price of house *i* in municipality *m* in ski resort *j* at time *t* $SCD_{j,t}$ is the share of days with >30cm snow cover $Z_{s;i,m,t}$ is a vector of structural (house) characteristics $Z_{Ski;j,t}$ is a vector of ski resort characteristics $Z_{Local;m,t}$ is a vector of municipality characteristics $Z_{climate;m,t}$ is a vector of climatic conditions at the municipal level

| Model | Real Price | Real Price FE | Detrended Price | Inter-temporal |
|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Dependent Variable | Ln(Real Price) | Ln(Real Price) | Ln(Relative Price) | Ln(Relative Price) |
| NSCD (share) | 0.296*** | 0.464*** | 0.201*** | -0,087 |
| | (0.043) | (0.051) | (0.044) | (0.053) |
| Ln(Altitude) | 0.867*** | 0.355*** | 0,106 | 0,11 |
| | (0.073) | (0.078) | (0.059) | (0.071) |
| Ln(Summer Temp.) | 0.677*** | 0.639*** | -0,014 | -0.329** |
| | (0.131) | (0.163) | (0.111) | (0119) |
| Ln(Winter Temp.) | 0.050*** | -0.024** | -0,01 | -0.014* |
| | (0.009) | (0.008) | (0.007) | (0.007) |
| Good Position | 0.048*** | 0.214*** | 0.224*** | 0.193*** |
| | (0.014) | (0.012) | (0.012) | (0.012) |
| Ln(Vert. Drop) | 4.658*** | 2.528* | -0,381 | |
| | (0.471) | (0.992) | (0.978) | |
| Ln(Slope Length) | 0.286*** | 0.091*** | 0.103*** | |
| | (0.012) | (0.011) | (0.011) | |
| Constant | 10.650*** | -13.528*** | -16.355*** | 5.640** |
| Ν | 9658 | 9658 | 9658 | 9658 |
| FE | No | Year & Canton | Canton | Resort |
| R ² | 0,736 | 0,848 | 0,846 | 0,853 |

Is there a Snow Cover-Premium?

A one <u>percentage-point</u> increase in the snow cover duration-share is associated with 0.464 <u>percent</u> (0.363-0.564%) increase in house prices

When using Resort-FE instead of Time-FE the estimates are insignificant \rightarrow cross sectional variation in snow cover duration explains cross-sectional variation in transaction prices. Inter-temporal variation in snow cover duration does <u>not</u> explain inter-temporal variation in transaction prices.

This makes sense: <u>relative</u> climatic differences are much bigger across space than across time!

Artificial Snow

- Artificial snow can be produced at an air temperature of -3°C to -4°C and average humidity
 - $\,\circ\,$ Just 20 cm required due to higher density
- Data from MeteoSwiss
- Following Steiger and Mayer (2008), I define a snowmaking day as a day with an average temperature not exceeding -2°C.
 Adjusting for altitude is easier here
- Artificial SCD is defined as the share of days in the season with an average temperature <= -2°C
- 5-Year moving averages

| Model | Real Price | Real Price FE | Detrended Price |
|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Dependent Variable | Ln(Real Price) | Ln(Real Price) | Ln(Relative Price) |
| NSCD (share) | 0.278*** | 0.426*** | 0.184*** |
| | (0.042) | (0.052) | (0.044) |
| ASCD (share) | 0.803*** | -0.379*** | -0.363*** |
| | (0.803) | (-0.379) | (-0.363) |
| Ln(Altitude) | 1.203*** | 0,154 | -0,061 |
| | (0.076) | (0.097) | (0.072) |
| Ln(Summer Temp.) | 1.148*** | 0.379* | -0,185 |
| | (0.134) | (0.178) | (0.117) |
| Ln(Winter Temp.) | 0.088*** | -0.033*** | -0.024*** |
| | (0.009) | (0.008) | (0.007) |
| Good Position | 0.052*** | 0.221*** | 0.228*** |
| | (0.014) | (0.012) | (0.012) |
| Ln(Vert. Drop) | 3.915*** | 2.823** | 0,18 |
| | (0.475) | (0.992) | (0.978) |
| Ln(Slope Length) | 0.282*** | 0.094*** | 0.104*** |
| | (0.012) | (0.011) | (0.011) |
| Constant | 10.433*** | -14.173*** | -16.641*** |
| N | 9658 | 9658 | 9658 |
| FE | No | Year & Canton | Canton |
| R ² | 0,739 | 0,848 | 0,846 |

Artificial and Real Snow

Inclusion of ASCD does not change the estimates for NSCD \rightarrow robust results

The value of ASCD is negative after controlling for time, how can this be?

- Could be measurement error, ASCD might not be constructed correctly. I am not actually measuring ASCD but # days with average temperature <= -2°C → not the same thing!
- Proliferation of snow making equipment is a recent phenomenon, I might be wrong in assuming that the equipment is there for all periods.
- Agents might not be aware of ASCD like they are of NSCD

Climate Change

- Why not simply look at the trajectory of SCD and house prices over the past 20 year?
 - \rightarrow SCD has actually been steady since 1989
 - \rightarrow limited relative change between places
- Look at predicted climate change instead

 \rightarrow Discount the future:

$$\boldsymbol{Z}_{0} = \boldsymbol{z}_{0} + \frac{\mathbf{E}_{1}[\boldsymbol{z}]}{(1+r_{1})} + \frac{\mathbf{E}_{2}[\boldsymbol{z}]}{(1+r_{2})^{2}} + \frac{\mathbf{E}_{3}[\boldsymbol{z}]}{(1+r_{3})^{3}} + \dots + \frac{\mathbf{E}_{T}[\boldsymbol{z}]}{(1+r_{T})^{T}}$$

Emission pathways



Identification

- Use the PV of all future flows as the independent variable of interest • Assume other amenities do not change over time
- Add an index of climate attention
- Show differential impact for differentially affected properties

 Primary vs. Secondary residences → no big difference
 Distance from the slopes → exact locations required

Climate Change Attention

Climate Change (Hits per NP issue; 1990-02/2008)



Coverage of climate change in worldwide sample of newspapers. Source: Holt and Barkemeyer (2012).

Other factors

- (Global) Demand for skiing
- Visitor numbers/overnight stays
- Other activities
- Supply-side factors



Department of Land Economy



Gonville & Caius

Floris Jan Blok PhD Candidate Department of Land Economy Gonville & Caius College University of Cambridge fjb49@cam.ac.uk +44 7 868 353 147

